Gross beta activity in selected organisms from North Adriatic

by

OLGA JELISAVCIC, ZVONIMIR KOLAR, PETAR STROHAL, STJEPAN KECKEŠ* and STJEPAN LULIĆ * Institute « Ruder Boskovic », Zagreb and Rovinj (Yougoslavie)

Present address : International Laboratory of Marine Radioactivity, Musée océanographique, Monaco (Principauté)

The radioactivity might be accumulated in marine organisms by several different processes governed by various factors, such as the concentration of radio-nuclides in sea water, their physico-chemical state, characteristics of sea water, biological properties of organisms and their chemical composition. Only a systematic and complex long term study could lead us to a better understanding of this subject. Therefore as a complementary work to the gamma-spectrometric analyses of the North Adriatic organisms [STROHAL *et al.*, 1968] a broad survey of gross beta activity was undertaken.

Materials and methods

The ashed samples were prepared and powdered as it was described earlier (STROHAL *et al.*, 1968]. Before use the powdered samples were quickly dried at 110° C and then 250 and 500 mg of each sample were deposited into aluminium planchettes of 1 1/4 inch diameter. A few drops of aceton diluted glue were added to uniformly distributed samples, and after the acetone had been evaporated the samples were used for beta counting. Samples and standards (K_2SO_4) were counted in a Nuclear Chicago anticoincident beta counter assembly with the actual background of about 1.5 cpm. Each planchette was counted for at least 6 \times 10 minutes. The average cpm was corrected for the background and the counter sensibility drift.

The ratios between the activities of 500 and 250 mg standards (b_1/b_2) and samples (a_1/a_2) were calculated and compared. These ratios were assumed to be equal, i.e. in the range of their standard errors, only when the activities of the samples were due to K⁴⁰. In our system the b_1/b_2 ratio was 1.81 ± 0.12 . According to this statement we understood that samples having $1.69 > a_1/a_2 > 1.93$ contained the activity which was not due only to K⁴⁰. Certainly, such a procedure is valid only if it is assumed that the self-absorption of beta rays in the standard is not essentially different from the self adsorption in the samples; this was confirmed in separate measurements.

Samples having the a_2 value larger than 10 cpm and $1.69 > a_1/a_2 > 1.93$ were taken for gamma spectrometry measurements. In the cases when the a_2 value was above 1 cpm the decay curves were followed. In samples in which the activity ratio was different from the activity ratio of the standards and in which the activity of the weaker sample was above 1 cpm, the potassium content was determined either radiometrically of flame photometrically. For the radiometric determination of potassium the activity due to the photopeak of 1.46 meV gamma ray was measured.

Results and discussion

The analyses of a great number of biological samples showed that according to our criteria some of them are contaminated (Table 1). The gammaspectrometric analyses of these contaminated samples indicated that the criteria used were too strong and that only the samples with relatively high concentrations of radionuclides were denoted as contaminated.

Generally it was found that the plankton and seaweeds were contaminated. Among the molluscs, with the exception of one mussel sample, only the analysed cephalopods (Loligo, Sepia) were radioactive.

Rapp. Comm. int. Mer Médit., 19, 5, pp. 957-959 (1969).

The activity found in fish was usually low, but it seems that some nectonic species (Scomber, Clupea) accumulate the activity to a considerable extent. Unusually high activity was found in one Diplodus, collected during 1964.

In this area it is most probable that the activities found in the organisms originate mainly from the fallout collected by the North Italian rivers [ŠKRIVANIĆ, 1968; ŠKRIVANIĆ *et al.*, 1968]. It should be pointed out that owing to its high primary phytoplankton productivity [KVEDER & KECKEŠ, 1968] the North Adriatic represents the richest part of the Adriatic Sea as far as fisheries are concerned, and the present low level contamination can seriously be increased by increased fallout deposition and cause a hazard not only for the existing biocenoses but also for the people consuming its products.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by contracts with the International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna (RB/201, RB/201/R1 and RB/201/R2).

The authors wish to express their gratitude to Miss Ida UGRIN for her valuable technical assistance.

References

- KVEDER (S.) & KECKEŠ (S.), 1968. *in press* Hydrographic and biotical conditions in North Adriatic. V. Primary phytoplankton productivity. *Thalassia jugosl.*, **5**.
- ŠKRIVANIĆ (A.), 1968 in press. Hydrographic and biotical conditions in North Adriatic. I. Hydrochemistry and some factors influencing the hydrography. *Thalassia jugosl.*, **5**.
- ŠKRIVANIĆ (A.), PUCAR (Z.) & KECKEŠ (S.), 1968 in press. Hydrographic and biotical conditions in North Adriatic. II. Penetration of light into the sea. *Thalassia jugosl.*, 5.
- STROHAL (P.) LULIĆ (S.), KOLAR (Z.), JELISAVCIĆ (O.) & KECKEŠ (S.), 1968. Gamma-spectrometric analyses of some North Adriatic organisms. *Rapp. Comm. int. Mer Médit.*, 19, 5, pp. 953-955

958

TABLE 1.

Data on the gross beta activity determinations.

The samples were considered as " contamined " according to the criteria given in the text

" cont	amined '	' conta	minated '
sample	/	sample	/
	nalysed		analyze
Enteromorpha intestinalis (L.) Link.	1/2	Ophiotrix quinquemaculata Delle Chiaje	0/2
Ulva lactuca L.	1/2	Ascidia virginea Müller	0/1
Acetabularia mediterranea Lamour.	0/1	Ascidia sp.	0/2
Padina pavonia (L.) Gail.	1/2	Microcosmus sulcatus Coyebert	0/5
Scytosiphon lomentaria (Lyngb.) J. Ag.	1/1	Scyllium stellare Gthr.	0/1
Fucus virsoides J. Ag.	5/10	Raja clavata L.	0/2
Cystoseira barbata (Good. et Woodw.) C.Ag	5. 5/5	Clupea sprattus L.	1/2
Cystoseira adriatica Sauvag.	0/1	Clupea pilchardus Art. Walb.	1/5
Callithamnion corymboum (Smith.) Lyngb.	0/4	Engraulis encrasicholus Cuv.	1/2
Mycale sp.	0/1	Conger conger Cuv.	0/1
Verongia aerophoba Schmidt	0/1	Belone belone Brünn.	0/3
Tethya aurantium Pall.	0/1	Merluccius merluccius L.	0/1
Leander serratus Penn.	0/2	Trachurus mediterraneus Ltkn.	0/4
Leander sp.	0/1	Trachurus trachurus L.	0/1
Maia verrucosa Milne Edw.	0/1	Mullus barbatus L.	0/1
Maia squinado Herbst	0/3	Mullus surmuletus L.	0/2
Xantho hydrophilus Herbst	0/1	Diplodus annularis L.	1/5
Pilumnus hirtellus L.	0/2	Sargus vulgaris Geoffr.	0/1
Eriphia spinifrons Herbst	0/1	Pagellus erythrinus L.	0/4
Pachygrapsus marmoratus Fabr.	0/3	Pagellus mormyrus L.	0/1
Patella coerulea L. (only soft tissues)	0/5	Chrysophrys aurata Cuv.	0/1
Patella coerulea L. (only shells)	0/2	Cantharus cantharus L.	0/3
Gibbula adriatica Philippi	0/5	Boops boops L.	0/4
Cerathium vulgatum Bruguiere	0/1	Boops salpa L.	0/5
Aporrhais pes-pelecani L.	0/1	Oblata melanura L.	0/5
Murex trunculus L.	0/1	Dentex dentex L.	0/1
Arca nue L. (only shells)	0/1	Maena maena L.	0/3
Mytilus galloprovincialis Lam. (only soft tissu		Maena smaris (L.) Zei	0/1
Mytilus galloprovincialis Lam. (only shells)	0/8	Coris julis Gthr.	0/2
Chlamys opercularis L. (only soft tissues)	0/2	Crenilabrus pavo C.V.	0/2
Chlamys varius L.	$\frac{0}{1}$	Crenilabrus sp.	0/1
Ostrea edulis L. (only soft tissues)	0/4	Trachinus draco L.	0/2
Pinna pectinata L. (only soft tissues)	$\frac{0}{1}$	Blennius sp.	0/1
Pinna pectinata L. (only shells)	$\frac{0}{1}$	Scorpaena scrofa L.	0/3
Sepia officinalis L. Loligo vulgaris Lam.	1/3	Scorpaena porcus M.	0/1
	3/3	Trigla lineata L. Gm. Mugil auratus Risso	0/1 0/1
Bryozoe sp. Holothuria tubulosa Gmelin	0/1 0/1	Mugil saliens Risso	0/1 0/2
Holothuria forscali Delle Chiaje	$\frac{0}{1}$	Scomber scomber L.	4/4
Sphaerechinus granularis Lam. (only soft tisus		Scomber scomber L. Scomber colias L. Gm.	1/1
Sphaerechinus granularis Lam. (only soft usus Sphaerechinus granularis Lam. (only shells)		Gymnosarda pelamis L.	0/3
Psammechinus microtuberculatus Blainville	0/1 0/1	Plankton (phyto + zoo)	3/5
Paracentrotus lividus Lam.	0/1	Zooplankton	2/2
Astropecten aurantiacus Linné	$\frac{0/2}{0/1}$	Doopiuntion	212