GRAZING OF *IDOTEA BALTICA BASTERI* ON *POSIDONIA OCEANICA:* PRELIMINARY OBSERVATIONS

by

Maurizio LORENTI & Eugenio FRESI

Stazione Zoologica di Napoli, Laboratorio di Ecologia del Benthos, Ischia Porto, Napoli

Résumé: Au cours d'expériences au laboratoire on a étudié le "grazing" de *Idotea baltica basteri* sur les feuilles vivantes de *Posidonia oceanica* et on a observé ses préférences alimentaires en utilisant aussi *Cymodocea*, *Caulerpa* et *Ulva*.

It is a widespread view that very few invertebrates feed on living seagrass material (DEN HARTOG, 1980). Although new data are being added on this subject (TRAER, 1980; NEDELEC et al., 1981; VERLAQUE,1981) it is still to be ascertained to what an extent such a view is merely the result of a lack of information. As a contribution to this problem, we investigated the feeding habits of *Idotea baltica basteri* Audoin, the commonest valviferan Isopod along the Mediterranean coasts. This species was selected because it occurs in a variety of shallow water environments, and especially in accumulation of *Posidonia oceanica* detrital leaves (WITTMANN et al., 1981; OTT, 1981). *Idotea baltica basteri* is considered an omnivorous animal as its diet is known (NAYLOR, 1955) to include both plant and animal foods.

Our specimens were obtained from floating Ulva collected nearshore in the vicinity of Portici, Gulf of Naples, and reared in plastic bowls at ambient temperature and illumination. Experiments were performed by offering the animals different food items cut into pieces, usually of 4 cm². It was preliminarly tested whether *Idotea* consumes living *Posidonia* tissue. Both adults, up to 20 mm long, and juveniles having a size of at least 5 mm were supplied with portions of greenleaves. Large bite marks appeared on these fragments shortly after they were offered to the animals. Preference tests were subsequently performed by providing the animals with pieces of living and decaying *Posidonia* leaves together with *Cymodocea nodosa* green leaves portions, as well as parts of *Ulva* and *Caulerpa* thalli. The relative palatability was assessed by comparing the amount of material removed from each item. In a first set of experiments, a population of *Idotea* was used which included both juveniles and adults. Results show that *Ulva* is the best preferred food, followed

by the white meristematic portions of Posidonia leaves, and by the Cymodocea material, which were preferred over decaying and green parts of Posidonia. Caulerpa was always left untouched. From the same test performed on juveniles (over 5 mm long) again resulted that Ulva is the preferred food followed by living Posidonia leaves. When the feeding behaviour of adult females was investigated, it resulted that Ulva ranks first in the hierarchy of preference and living material of Posidonia is scarcely consumed as in the experiment performed with a mixed population. In both these latter tests, Caulerpa was not fed upon. Summarizing, in all cases Ulva was the best preferred food, the living Posidonia and Cymodocea leaves were always consumed and Caulerpa never showed feeding marks. In order to further check on the above results, binary preference tests were carried out. In a first set, the animals were offered the choice between living and decaying fragments of Posidonia leaves. In most of the cases living tissue was preferred over the dead one.

As it can be seen from these preliminary results, whose qualitative character is worth stressing, living *Posidonia* material represents a constant part of the diet of *Idotea* also when other food items are supplied. Under our laboratory conditions, that nevertheless take into account some of the major primary producers actually occurring in *Idotea*'s habitat, living *Posidonia* tissues does constitute an energy source. This is proved by the fact that individuals whose diet consisted exclusively of green *Posidonia* were found to survive over long periods without any evident harm.

Our results seem to discount the view of OTT (1981) who considers *Idotea* baltica basteri primarily as a debris feeder. They rather support the "omnivority" of this form which seemingly selects the vegetal part of its diet according to the "tenderness" of the different items.

Reference

DEN HARTOG C., 1980. In: Handbook of Seagrass biology: an Ecosystem Perspective, Ed. by R.C. Phillips & C.P. McRoy, New York: IX-XIII NAYLOR E. 1955. J. mar. biol. ass. U.K. 34: 347-355

NEDELEC H., M. VERLAQUE & A. DIAPOULIS, 1981. Rapp. Comm. Int. Mer Medit. 27(2): 203-204

OTT J., 1981. P.S.Z.N. Mar. Ecol. 2(2): 113-158

TRAER K., 1980. In: Echinoderms: present and past. Ed. by Jangoux, Rotterdam: 241-244

VERLAQUE M., 1981. Rapp. Comm. Inter. Mer Medit. 27(2): 201-202
WITTMANN K., M.B. SCIPIONE & E. FRESI, 1981. Rapp.Comm.Int.Mer Medit.
27(2): 205-206

148