RECOVERY OF INJURED FECAL COLIFORMS IN SEAWATER BY THE MOST-PROBABLE-NUMBER PROCEDURE (MPN) AND TWO MEMBRANE FILTRATION TECHNIQUES (MF) (M7H-FC AND MT-7 AGAR MEDIA)

J.L. ALONSO°, I. AMORÓS° and E. HERNÁNDEZ°°

Institute of Hydrology and Environment
 Department of Microbiology
 Polytechnical University, B.P. 22012, Valencia (Espana)

Introduction

Two methods are specified by the E.E.C. for the microbio logical analysis of recreational waters (1), the MPN method and the membrane filter techniques. None of the recommended methods specify resuscitation (2) and in seawater a number of environmental factors injure or kill coliform bacteria (3). As a result many investigators have proposed alternative MPN and ME methods (2)(2)(5) MF methods (4)(2)(5)

Traditional MPN procedure was compared with two membrane filtration methods, a rapid 7 hour fecal coliform test (5) and a medium (mT-7 agar) designed to improve recoveries of injured fecal coliforms (4).

Materials and Methods

Mater samples were collected in two sites on the beach of Puebla de Farnals (Valencia) during the period January-April 1.986. The first site is next to a drain of fecal contaminated waters. Samples were prefiltered with 12 μm sterile membra

1.986. The first site is next to a drain of fecal contamina-ted waters. Samples were prefiltered with 12 µm sterile membra ne filters (Sartorius) to eliminate particles on suspension. Microbial methods: m7-h FC test.- samples (prefiltered were filtered with 0,45 µm membrane filters (Millipore) and membrane filters were pla ced on m7-h FC medium. The plates were incubated for 7 to 7.25h at 41,5°C (5). mT-7 agar method.- the resuscitation procedure consisted of filtration of two replicates of each sample dilution through membrane filters 0,45 µm (Millipore). mT-7 agar was used as the fecal coliform medium. The plates were preincubated for 8h at 3°C (ollowed by incubation for an additional 12h at 44,5 °C (7).

the fecal coliform medium. The plates were predictable to the set of the set

Results

Counts on MPN method were generally lower than on the other two methods in both sites. T-7 agar yielded the highest average for each sample point. The counts in site 1, between the three procedures shows greater differences which indica-tes that the quality of water had significant effect on the ability of media to support the production of fecal coliform population other population.

population. In site 1 the mean ratio m7-h count per 100m1/MPN per 100 m1 ranged from 1-1.12, the mean ratio mT-7/m7-h ranged from 1-1.1 and the mean ratio mT-7/MPN ranged from 1.1-1.3. In site 2 the mean ratio between the three procedures were very simi-lar to ste 1. Verification rates for fecal coliform isolates on mT-7 agar averaged 85.7% whereas verification rates for m7-h averaged 80.5%. The analysis of variance revealed no sig nificant differences between the three procedures in site 2 (P<0.05) but in site 1 the difference was highly significant (P<0.05) between the three techniques.

Discussion

The time and temperature of preincubation were found to be crucial to the optimal recovery of injured fecal coliform in both membran^p filter procedures (5)(7).

Verification rates for fecal coliform isolated on mT-7 agar averaged 85.7% for seawater samples whilst LeChevallier (7) averaged 89% for chlorinated and no chlorinated waters. This medium was found to produce consistently higher fecal coliform counts than the two other methods tested.

The m7-h FC can be used for the emergency examination of coastal waters with fecal contamination.

The 12 µm sterile prefiltration step of samples elimina-tes the interference due to particles on suspension when used the MF procedures.

Modified culture methods are required for recovery and enumeration of sublethally stressed organisms (2)(8)

References

(1.) Directive (1976).-Official J. of the E.E.C. L31, 5 Feb. 1976, p1-7.

(2.) Pike E.B. and Ridgway J.W. (1985).- <u>J. of Appl. Bacteriol.</u> <u>Symp. Supplement</u>, p105S-126S.

(3.) Carlucci A.F., Scarpino P.V. and Pramer D. (1959).- <u>Appl.</u> <u>Microbiol.</u>, <u>7</u>::388-392.

(4.) LeChevallier M.W., Cameron S.C. and McFeters G.A. (1983) - <u>Appl. Environ. Microbiol.</u>, 45:484-492.

(5.) Reasoner D.J., Blannon J.C. and Geldreich E.E. (1979).-<u>Appl. Environ. Microbiol.</u>, <u>38</u>:229-236.

(6.) APHA-AWWA-WPCF (1980).- <u>Standard Methods for the Examina-</u> tion of Water and Wastewater. 15 th. ed. Washington.

(7.) LeChevallier et al.(1984).~Appl. Environ. Micro, 48:371-375.

(8.) Bisonnette et al. (1975).- <u>Appl. Microbiol.,33</u>:590-595.

SOME ASPECTS ABOUT MICROBIAL ACTIVITIES ON NUTROGEN TRANSFORMATION IN CHILERA BAY

T. AMORÓS, J.L. ALONSO and I. PERIS Institute of Hydrology and Environment, Polytechnical University, B.P. 22012, Valencia (Espana)

Introduction

Cullera bay is a tourist village situated 40 km. far from Valen cia which increases its population in a large number during summer periods. Seawater in Cullera bay is influenced by the contaminant dig charge of Jucar river; this fact and the restrictive circulation of their waters causes a serious pollution problems. During the last year (due to the increase of pollution) nitrogen cycle has been intensely studied (1,2,3,4,5) principally in bays, estuaries and lagoons which receive many pollution sources. A great quantity of nitrogen compounds derived of urban wastes are present in these discharges. These waste vater discharges may be monitored in order to the public health con-trol but the amount of nutrients which they transport is not removed by the water treatment systems. Consequently, an eutrophication of re-ceptor waters is produced. dis vears which ceptor waters is produced.

In the present work ammonification and nitrification (autotro-phic and heterotrophic paths) activities developed by microrganisms have been studied. Nitrates, nitrites and ammonia values of seawater have been also monitored.Statistical analysis with data obtained were calculated

Materials and Methods.

Sampling: Water samples were collected at 3 points in Cullera bay, at surface and depth(5mts.) during the months of May, July, August and October. Microbial Methods: Ammonification and Nitrification activities: MF-Technique.-Samples were filtered with a 0.45 μ pore size filter(Sartorius SM111). Filters were inoculated into a flask with 100ml. of specific medium(6) and incubated for 3 days at 28°C for ammonification activities.

Bravais-Pearson

The highest value of ammonification activity in Cullera bay was obtained in May and the lowest in october. In general, we have observed that the nitrification heterotro phic path is dominant over the autotrophic one. The highest value occurs in May and the nitrification activity on depth is higher than at sur

phic path is dominant over the autotropict one to be the set of the nitrification activity on depth is higher than at surface. Nitrates values were elevated during all the period of study (8.8 μ atg N/1). Nitrites and ammonia values were moderated except during the month of October where maximun values were obtained(NH₄⁺⁼ 45.6 and NO₂=5.05). Not correlation have been found between microbiological activities and chemical determinations of seawater.

Discussion

The elevated value of ammonification activity found in May can be explained as result of an increase of organic matter proceding from phytoplankton explossion and the lowest one could be due to a liberation of amine groups and the organic matter able to consume 02 is composed of low nitrogen content compounds. Same results have been found by other authors in this area (6). The differences found between the nitrification processes on depth and at surface can be explained by the hypothesis of photoinhi-bition given by Horrigan and others(8)(2) or light inhibition of ni-trification. The minimun incidence ofsunlight in points situated on Smeters depth on Cullera bay produce an enhancement of nitrifying ac-tivity on depth. No correlations have been found between microbiological activi ties and chemical data . This fact can be interpreted by the existen c of another nitrification paths which complement the microbial ac-tivity.Similar results have been observed in others studys. (2) ((4)

References

- (1).-Jones R.D. and Hood M.A.1980.<u>Microbial Ecology</u>, 6:339-347
 (2).-Ward B.B.,Olson R.J. and Perry M.J.1982. <u>Deep-Sea Research</u>; 29: 24,pp:247-245
- (3).-Buresh R.J.Patrick W.H. 1981. Soil Biol. Biochem. Vol 13 pp: 279-283

279-283
279-283
(4).-Castellví J y O'Shanahan.1977. <u>Inv. Pesqueras</u> (41) 2:345-358
(5).-Castellví J;Amengual P; y Cano M. 1981. <u>Investigaciones Pes-queras</u> (45):2:391-414.
(6).-Anónimo .1981 Comité Conjunto Hispano Norteamericano.
(7).- K. Grasshoff. 1976. Verlag Chemie.Methods of Seawater Analysis
(8).-Horrigan S.C; Carlucci A.F; and Williams P.M. 1981. <u>Journal of Marine Research</u>.Vol 39,3