Breeding birds on the Island of Naxos (Cyclades, Greece). Eight breeding records new to the island. Differences with those of Krüper and Watson.

Stavros N. MAGIORIS

Section of Ecology and Taxonomy, Department of Biology, Athens University, Athens (Greece)

This paper contains new data about breeding birds on the island of Naxos, Cyclades, Aegean sea. We visited Naxos from Febr. 1984 - Dec. 1985 on a monthly basis, for 4-7 (days at a time, as well as on March, April, June, August, November, 1986 and on May 1987. Observations were made mainly on the southeastern part of the island and on the lagoon near the capital, but very often we covered all the island by car. During the 19 and 20 century many observers have visited the island of Naxos. However the breeding birds have been studied only by Krüper and Watson in the past. Krüper visited Naxos during 1862 and recorded 42 bird sp. as definitely breeding as well as 9sp. as doubtful breeding. Watson visited the island during 1954 and 1959 and recorded the breeding Passeriforms. With respect to his study 22 sp. are definitely breeding and 3 sp. (Wren, Whitethroat, Stonechat) are douptful breeding on the island. (TAB.1). According to our observations 42 sp. have been recorded as de-finitely breeding on Naxos.21 of these are Residents occuring all the year round and 21 sp. are Summer visitors. Although 4 sp. have been seen during the breeding season we keep our doupts and Clas-sify them as of uncertain seasonal status. (TAB.2): Finally the birds us 5, 28, 29, 35, 38, 40 in Table 2, are here recorded for the first ti-me breeding on Naxos and ne 36, 37 for the first time in all the Cy-clades.

clades. Comparing our data with those of Krüper and Watson we must note that 17 sp. that were definitely breeding according to them, have not been observed during the breeding season ($n \equiv 2, 4, 12, 14, 15, 17, 20, 22, 25, 28, 33, 34, 34, 44, 87, RAB.1)$, Also 6 doubtful breeding species ($n \equiv 49, 51, 52, 53, 54, 58, 7AB.1$) have not been observed from which the Expytian Vulture and the White-tailed Eagle have not been recorded in all the Cyclades during the 20 control ata 10 sp. of TAB.1, ($n \equiv 4, 12, 15, 17, 22, 33, 34, 37, 53, 54$) are from the study transects.Finally, 3 sp. are Winter visitors ($n \equiv 43, 44, 58$), but very often some of them delay their departure until mid April. April.

From the Residents no 8,11,13,15,18,20 are very common while no 4,6 and 16 are uncommon. Bonelli's eagle is very rare, Kest-rel's population is constant. Concerning Summer visitors, no 25, 27,28,32 are noumerous in their breeding areas while no 35,36,40 are very rare. Marmora's warbler was observed in areas with law vegetation where Cistus sp. was dominant.

Species observed by Krüper and Watson TABLE 1.

Definitely breeding: 1. Manx Shearwater 2. Cory's Shearwater 3. Shag 4. Lesser Kestrel 5. Kestrel 6. Eleonora's Falcon 7. Chukar 8. Herring Gull 9. Rock Dove 10. Scops Owl 11. Little Owl 12. Alpine Swift 13. Swift 14. Pallid Swift 15. Shortoed Lark 16. Crested Lark 17. Grag Martin 18. Sand Martin 19. Swallow 20. Richard's Pipit 21. Tawny Pipit 22. Yellow Wagtail 23. White Wagtail 24. Woodshat Shrike 25. Lesser grey Shrike 26. Hooded Crow 27. Raven 28. Olive-tree Warbler 29. Olivaceus Warbler 30. Orphean Warbler 31. Garden Warbler 35. Black-eared Mheatear 36. Blue-rock Thrush 37. Nightingale 38. Blue Tit 39. Great Tit 40. House Sparrow 41. Greenfinch 42. Coldfinch 43. Linnet 44. Corn Bunting 45. Cretzshmar's Bunting 46. Cirl Bunting 47. Black-headed Bunting 48. Common Bulbul. Doubtful breeding: 49. Egyptian Vulture 50. Griffon Vulture 51. Whitetailed Eagle 52. Golden Eagle 53. Little ringed Plover 54. Com-mon Sanpiper 55. Turtle Dove 56. Wren 57. Whitethroat 58. Stonechat.

. Species observed by writer TABLE 2.

Residents:1.Shag 2.Griffon Vulture 3.Bonelli's Eagle 4.Buz-zard 5.Kestrel 6.Peregrine Falcon 7.Chukar 8.Herring Gull 9.Scops Owl 10.Little Owl 11.Crested Lark 12.White Wagtail 13.Hooded Crow 14.Raven 15.Sardinian Warbler 16.Blue-rock Thrush 17.Great Tit 18. House Sparrow 19.Greenfinch 20.Goldenfinch 21.Cirl Bunting.

Summer visitors:22.Eleonora's Falcon 23.Rock Dove 24.Turtle Dove 25.Swift 26.Sand Martin 27.Swallow 28.House Martin 29.Tree Pipit 30.Woodchat Shrike 31.Olivaceus Warbler 32.Orphean Warbler 33.Garden Warbler 34.Whitethroat 35.Rüppell's Warbler 36.Marmora's Warbler 37.Red-breasted Flycatcher 38.Wheatear 39.Black-eared Whea-tear 40.Ortolan Bunting 41.Cretzschmar's Bunting 42.Black-headed Bunting tear 40. Bunting,

Doubtful breeding: Manx Shearwater (on 4.4.'85), Tawny Pipit. (on 5.8.'84), Wren (on 12.3.'84 and 13-18.4.'84), Icterine Warbler (on 20.5.'84, one indiv.).

REFERENCES: CATSADORAKIS G.1983.Some data on the Avifaume of Naxos island and the migration over the Aegean.Rpp.Comm.int.Mer Médit.2818. KRDPER T.1863.Die Brutvögel von Naxos.J.Orn.11:326-339. MAGLORIS N.St.1987. Migration over the Cyclades,central Aegean. Ringing and Migration 8,Oct.1987:109-114. WATSONG.E.1964.Ecology and Evolution of Passerine birds on the islands of the Aegean sea.Univ.Microfilms International,Ann Arbor.

Michigan, USA.

A first review on the insular endemic Spiny Mouse of Crete Acomys minous (Rodentia : Murinae)

A TRIHAS

Department of Biology, University of Crete, Iraklion, Crete (Greece)

ABSTRACT

name A.minous.not making clear the reasons for this transition of the taxonomic level. Aharoni (1952) treats A.dimidiatus as a subspecies of A.cahirinus and the same did Ellermann & Morrison-Scott (1951) in their "Checklist of Palaearctic and indian Mæmmals" setting the cretan form under the species level of cahirinus.Ellermann, in a previous publication (1949, reprinted in 1966) separated cahirinus and dimidiatus into different species treating the Cretan Spiny Mouse as A.dimidiatus minous" againt Subsequently, Zimmermann (1953) brings back the Aharoni statement, referring to the animal as A.c.minous.He also gave a more detailed description and complete morpho-metric data, relied on twenty-five specimens. However, Zahavi & Wahrman (1956) separated the "minous" form again as a species "per se" on a chromosonic basis, while Diete-rlen a few years later (1963), seems to be in doubt when referring to the systematic status of the rodent.(Although he shows the tendency to set apart the Cretan Scomys). The situation appeared to be more clear after the exhaustive study, both on the number and the morphology of the chromosomes, made by Matthey in 1963.He claimed that the Cretan Spiny Mouse belongs to a different species than those of Palaestina and Cyprus, while he gave a dendrogram of the possible evolutionary relationship between the three murids. From that period on, the animal has been treated as an endemic species of the island (Ondrias 1966, 1967, Graf 1986), while any further research concerning whichever aspect of its biology, seens to be interrupted.It is therefore evident, that additional studies on this point need to be done in the near future.

Succession of the solution of the createn solution solution. Solution of the solutio

Seems to have followed by the or both the above fourtes of himugration, since miceteries Accomys occupied an area extending over the Greek and Asia Minor mainland (Dieterlen 1953). Not so much is known untill now about the reproduction, feeding habits and behavior of the Cretan Spiny Mouse. The only data available, are the morphometric studies of Bate (1905) and Zimmermann (1953) and the observations on an experimental population that Dieterlen created (1963) from 7 animals captured on the island. The environment that seems to be optimal for the Cretan Spiny Mouse consists (according to Dieterlen 1953) of rocky phryganic areas of southern exposure with many rifts for sheltering. All the sites, where the animal has been recorded up to now (Bate.1905, Zimmermann 1953 Dieterlen 1963, Card 1966), belong to the above described biotopes and were placed in the vicinity of the coastal region of Crete. No information on the altitude that the animal can reach has been provided until now. Here, it must be underlined that there is clear evidence of an extencive disturbance or even destruction of a big part of the possible biotopes of this species, mainly because of the uncontrolled urbanisation and the increased touristic activities over the last fifteen years. Also we have no idea about the ecological relationship, as well as the competiti-venes of the Spiny Mouse against its relative marids (house mice and rats). Bate (1905) and Dieterlen (1963) noted the ability of the animal to enter the human habitations in periods of harsh natural environmental conditions, but there is no evidence about its ability to compete against other mice, already settled there. A second point of timely it inhabits the island. Since no total submergion of Crete has taken place in the Late Cencocic era (Dermitzakis 1987), it is more reason-able to suggest an older existence of the genus on Crete, than to accept the immi-gration way as the only possible for its present existence on the island. If the animal can survive in altitudes higher tha

Rapp. Comm. int. Mer Médit., 31, 2 (1988).