

E. ANDROUKAKI and S. ADAMANTOPOULOU

Laboratory of Ecology and Taxonomy, University of Athens, 15771 Athens (Greece)

This work gives data on the bird species that were observed during autumn 1987 and spring 1988, throughout the peninsular of Rhodopos and the exact dates of observations. It is connected with a conservation program titled: "Registration of the wetlands and the other important bird areas of Crete" (University of Patras).

The Rhodopos peninsular is situated on the northwestern part of Crete. There are only some villages at the base of the peninsular and the rest of the area is deserted. The peninsular is crossed by some rural roads, one of them leads to the onyx mine. The grazing is intense but no other activity seems to disturb the area.

The highest hills are Onyx (748m) and Mouri (747m).

There are five basic types of biotops that we encountered:

- A) Areas around human habitation with gardens, fields, hedges etc.
- B) Rocky and earthy coasts and ravines.
- C) Semi-abandoned fields, meadows.
- D) Territories dominated by maquis and phrygana.
- E) Small forests by *Quercus* sp.

Among the above mentioned species, some are winter visitors, others are residents and most of them are passage migrants and summer visitors.

#### Comments

This is the first time that a study of the avifauna of Rhodopos peninsular is to be attempted. It seems to have a great importance for the migration of birds, as it serves as a resting and feeding station for them. The number of migratory birds which were observed during our visits proves that it is situated on a migration road passing from Crete and continuing either to Kythera-Peloponnisos or to Cyclades.

The variety of biotops is adequate for the migration and probable breeding of passing birds.

The absence of human activities (out of grazing) establishes the peninsular ideal for the feeding and migration of raptors. We observed 7 species of raptors, 5 of which are endangered and protected by the directive 74/409/CEE.

#### The list of the species and the dates of observations

1. <i>Egretta garzetta</i> (B) 2/10, 20/4	25. <i>Muscicapa striata</i> (C) 22/4
2. <i>Buteo buteo</i> (F) 22/4	26. <i>Ficedula albicollis</i> (D) 20, 22/4
3. <i>Buteo rufinus</i> (F) 22/4	27. <i>Ficedula hypoleuca</i> (D) 20, 22/4
4. <i>Aquila chrysaetos</i> (F) 22/4	28. <i>Cettia cetti</i> (D) 20/4
5. <i>Gyps fulvus</i> (F) 22/4	29. <i>Sylvia melanocephala</i> (D) 20, 22/4
6. <i>Hieraaetus pennatus</i> (F) 22/4	30. <i>Sylvia hortensis</i> (D) 22/4
7. <i>Pandion haliaetus</i> (F) 22/4	31. <i>Phylloscopus collybita</i> (E) 20, 22/4
8. <i>Falco tinnunculus</i> (F) 22/4	32. <i>Saxicola torquata</i> (D) 22/4
9. <i>Alectoris chukar</i> (D) 22/4	33. <i>Saxicola rubetra</i> (C) 22/4
10. <i>Coturnix coturnix</i> (D) 22/4	34. <i>Phoenicurus phoenicurus</i> (G) 22/4
11. <i>Larus argentatus</i> (F) 3/10, 20, 22/4	35. <i>Phoenicurus ochruros</i> (D) 22/4
12. <i>Streptopelia turtur</i> (E) 22/4	36. <i>Oenanthe oenanthe</i> (C, D) 20, 22/4
13. <i>Apus apus</i> (A) 20, 22/4	37. <i>Oenanthe hispanica</i> (C) 20, 22/4
14. <i>Upupa epops</i> (D) 22/4	38. <i>Erithacus rubecula</i> (A) 20/4
15. <i>Oriolus oriolus</i> (D) 22/4	39. <i>Luscinia megarhynchos</i> (D) 22/4
16. <i>Anthus pratensis</i> (C) 22/4	40. <i>Turdus merula</i> (D) 20, 22/4
17. <i>Delichon urbica</i> (A) 20, 22/4	41. <i>Turdus philomelos</i> (E) 20/4
18. <i>Hirundo rustica</i> (A) 20, 22/4	42. <i>Monticola solitarius</i> (B) 20, 22/4
19. <i>Corvus corone cornix</i> (F) 20, 22/4	43. <i>Parus major</i> (A, E) 3/10, 20, 22/4
20. <i>Corvus corax</i> (F) 3/10, 22/4	44. <i>Fringilla coelebs</i> (A, D, E) 20, 22/4
21. <i>Troglodytes troglodytes</i> (B) 22/4	45. <i>Carduelis chloris</i> (A) 22/4
22. <i>Galerida cristata</i> (C) 20, 22/4	46. <i>Card. carduelis</i> (A, D, E) 20, 22/4
23. <i>Lullula arborea</i> (C) 22/4	47. <i>Acanthis cannabina</i> (D) 20, 22/4
24. <i>Passer domesticus italiae</i> (A) 3/10, 20, 22/4	

A, B, C, D, E : Types of habitats encountered, see preceding page.

F : The birds were observed only in flight.

M. MYLONAS\*, C. KRIMBAS\*\*, J. SOURDIS\*\* and A. AYOUTANTI\*\*

\* Section of Ecology and Systematics, Biological Department, University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis, 15771 Athens (Greece)

\*\* Department of Genetics, Agricultural College of Athens, Iera Odos 75, 11855 Athens (Greece)

Data have been assembled on 36 different quantitative characters (mainly different lengths and angles of the shell) for 18 populations belonging to 8 species of the genus *Albinaria*. In every population 20 individuals were measured. The populations were collected from several localities in the Cyclades, in Ikaria, Psara and Crete islands and Mt. Hymettus. The dendrogram, based on these measurements, did not coincide with the one provided from the electrophoretic study of 27 genetic markers. (Mylonas et al 1987, Ayoutanti et al 1987, Ayoutanti et al, in this issue). The genetic markers permitted the construction of a tree reminding grossly the actual geography and probably having a good correspondence with some paleogeographic aspects, thus indicating that the information they conveyed is related to historical processes rather than to ecological similarities. The morphological characters seem to depict these last ones, since they provide a "patchy" tree, without correspondence to the actual geography neither to the presently accepted taxonomic delineations of the *Albinaria* species. A further analysis of the morphological characters was pursued.

Morphological characters could be strongly correlated. From the inspection of all possible correlations, we were able to join them in 6 groups. Members within each group are correlated but there is no correlation between members belonging to different groups. There are two major groups. The first consists of most of the length measurements and the second of most of the angle measurements. Thus all 36 measurements should not be taken as independent (all of them providing the same amount of information) when considered in their totality.

In spite of the apparent discordant results between electrophoretic and morphological data we decided to investigate the possible concordance of every morphological character apart with the pattern exhibited by the electrophoretic data. Correlation coefficients were computed first between the distances provided by the electrophoretic data (genetic distances) and those with every morphological character, then between the value of the first principal component of the electrophoretic data (this component exemplifies even more closely the "historical" pattern) with the mean value of every morphological character at a time. Three characters only showed moderate correlations (0.25 to 0.52) in both series, with electrophoretic data: the angle of the apex of the shell and two (correlated between themselves) measurements of the prolongation of the shell mouth. This should not necessarily be interpreted as originated by a historical process: the correlation is not very strong and the electrophoretic pattern indicates a loose east to west pattern which could be related to an ecological gradient.

On the contrary correlations between geographic latitude and mean values for every morphological character were stronger for two of them: the angle formed by the suture of the last whorl and the axis (0.49) and the number of striae per mm (-0.61). For this last character smooth shells (without striae) are commonest in the north, while striated ones in the south. The same gradient is encountered in altitude. It is quite probable that these gradients are the result of natural selection. For the exact mechanism of its action several hypotheses could be formulated.

Ayoutanti, A., C. Krimbas, S. Tsakas, M. Mylonas, 1987. Genetic differentiation and speciation in the Greek archipelago: the genus *Albinaria*. Biol. Gallo-Hell. 13:155-160

Ayoutanti, A., C. Krimbas, M. Mylonas, J. Sourdis, S. Tsakas, 1988. Genetic differentiation in *Albinaria* populations from the Aegean region. (this issue)

Mylonas, M., C. Krimbas, S. Tsakas, A. Ayoutanti, 1987. The genus *Albinaria* Vest (Gastropoda, Clausiliidae). Is there any true species? Biol. Gallo-Hell. 13:161-164