

LENGTH BASED METHODS FOR DETERMINATION OF GROWTH PARAMETERS BY SEX IN *MULLUS BARBATUS*

A. VOLIANI, A. ABELLA, R. AUTERI

Consorzio Regionale di Idrobiologia e Pesca. Livorno, Italy

The different individual growth pattern for males and females in a number of species of Osteichthyes, makes it difficult to use the analysis of the progression of modal classes to determine the parameters of the Von Bertalanffy growth curve. As a matter of fact when algorithms like those included in MULTIFAN (FOURNIER *et al.*, 1990) or in the Compleat ELEFAN (PAULY, 1987) are used for the comprehensive frequency distributions of these species, the program can easily misinterpret the alternation of the two sex modes as a succession of year classes, thereby giving the impression that growth is much slower than it really is. In the case of *Mullus barbatus*, furthermore, the presence of several false rings in the otoliths (VRANTZAS *et al.*, 1992) makes it difficult to use them for growth studies; misidentification of annual rings can bring to an underestimate of the K parameter in the growth curve. These causes, as well as possible discrepancies in growth patterns of different geographical areas, can have produced the high variability in the estimates of growth parameters for red mullet by different authors.

All specimens of *Mullus barbatus* caught during 13 trawl surveys were measured in their total lengths divided by sex. In order to avoid any possible bias in the size distribution caused by the selectivity of the fishing instrument, a cover was used particularly in the species recruitment periods (AUTERI and RIGHINI, 1979; ORSI RELINI and ARNALDI, 1986). Sex determinations were always done on fresh specimens, without recourse to freezing, so as to increase the possibility of sex identification in resting periods as well as in small size individuals. This strategy drastically reduced the frequency of sex-undetermined individuals. Summer samples included massive quantities of undeterminable small recruits (TL < 10 cm); for these young individuals, considering that sexual differences on mean length were negligible, an even distribution of 50% between the two sexes was made. Data were processed with the above mentioned programs MULTIFAN and ELEFAN, both of which make direct use of size distributions to prepare an estimate of the growth parameters. In order to separate the modal classes, the program NORMSEP (ABRAMSON, 1971) and the Bhattacharya method of the program LFSA (SPARRE, 1987) were utilized. Modes were easily aged because the species' reproduction time is known (ORSI RELINI and ARNALDI, 1986). The couples of data obtained with both methods were then used as input for the program ETAL (GASCHUETZ *et al.*, 1980) for alternative estimates of the growth parameters. Results are shown in the following table.

	FEMALES				MALES			
	L_{∞}	K	t_0	ϕ'	L_{∞}	K	t_0	ϕ'
ELEFAN	29.2	.68		2.76	22.0	.74		2.55
MULTIFAN	27.0	.70		2.71	20.6	.70		2.47
BHATTACHARYA+ETAL	28.1	.69	-.42	2.74	21.5	.58	-.78	2.43
NORMSEP+ETAL	26.5	.64	-.37	2.65	21.5	.67	-.44	2.49

As the rare Winter surveys would make improbable a good fitting of seasonal growth curves, this hypothesis was not considered even when the program could have calculated them. The results obtained with the four different approaches for each sex are very similar as can be seen in the figures where the four curves for males and females have been drawn and by the comparison of the PAULY and MUNRO (1983) ϕ' values.


Whichever curve is analyzed, a rapid growth for the species is observed, faster for females which present a larger size; this fast growth rate is in agreement with the results of PASSELAIGUE (1974), GHARBI and KTARI (1981), VRANTZAS *et al.* (1992). A useful mention must be made about the important consequences that a rapid growth performance can bring regarding the exploitation strategy of this important fishery resource, especially in relation with the application of theoretic assessment models.

REFERENCES

- ABRAMSON N.J., 1971. Computer programs for fish stock assessments. FAO Fish. Tech. Paper, 101.
 AUTERI R. & RIGHINI P., 1979. Studio dei rendimenti di pesca e delle condizioni faunistiche dei fondi a strascico antistanti la costa della Provincia di Livorno. Centro Provinciale Studi sulla Pesca. Provincia di Livorno, 60 p.
 FOURNIER D.A., SIBERT J.R., MAJKOWSKI J. & HAMPTON J., 1990. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 47:301-317.
 GASCHUETZ G., PAULY D. & DAVID N., 1980. *Int. Council. for Expl. Sea.*
 GHARBI H. & KTARI M.H., 1981. *Bull. Inst. nat. scient. tech. Océanogr. Pêche Salammbô*, 8: 5-40.
 MUNRO J.L. & PAULY D., 1983. *ICLARM Fishbyte*, 1(1): 5-6.
 ORSI RELINI L. & ARNALDI D., 1986. Note di biologia della triglia di fango, *Mullus barbatus* L. 1758, del Mar Ligure: riproduzione e reclutamento. *Boll. Mus. Ist. Biol. Univ. Genova* 52 suppl.:237-250.
 PASSELAIGUE F., 1974. Etude comparée de la croissance de quelques poissons Téléostéens du Golfe de Marseille. Thèse 3e cycle (158 pp). Univ. Aix-Marseille II.
 PAULY D., 1987. *ICLARM Conference Proceedings* 13, 468 p.
 SPARRE P., 1987. *FAO Fish. Tech. Pap.* (101): suppl. 2: 218.
 VRANTZAS N., KALAGIA M. E., KARLOU C., 1992. *FAO Fisheries Report*, N° 477: 51-67.

Rapp. Comm. int. Mer Médit., 34, (1995).

Females



Males

