SMALL-SCALE GEAR COMPETITION AND OVERLAP FOR DIPLODUS ANNULARIS AND SERRANUS CABRILLA

P. K. Karachle* and K. I. Stergiou

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, School of Biology, Department of Zoology, Laboratory of Ichthyology, Thessaloniki, Greece -

kstergio@bio.auth.gr

Abstract

In the present study, multivariate techniques were used to analyse competition and overlap between different fishing gears (gillnets : 22, 24, 26 and 28 mm bar length; longlines with hook sizes : 11>12>13>15), in terms of total length, weight and value, for Diplodus annularis and Serranus cabrilla. Cluster and multi-dimensional scaling analyses clearly indicated that gillnets with mesh sizes 26 and 28 mm and hook size 15, for *D. annularis*, and gillnets with mesh sizes 24, 26 and 28 mm, for *S. cabrilla*, caught larger individuals of higher value, the vast majority of which were sexually mature, than the remaining gears.

Keywords : fisheries, Aegean Sea

Introduction

Small-scale fisheries are of primary social and economic importance to Greece, accounting for 87.5% of the mean number of boats and 47.7% of the mean value of landings (1). In the present study, multivariate techniques were used to identify gear competition and overlap, in terms of total length, weight and value, between gillnets and longlines for Diplodus annularis and Serranus cabrilla.

Materials and Methods

Overall, 46 fishing trials were carried out in the coastal waters off Naxos Island (Cyclades), from October 1997 to October 1998, with gillnets (mesh sizes : 22, 24, 26 and 28 mm bar length; G_{22} , G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{28} , respectively) and longlines ("Mustad" round bent spade hooks of sizes 11>12>13>13>15; H_{11} , H_{12} , H_{13} and H_{15} , respectively). 1000 m of each mesh size and 250 hooks of each hook size were used. Fishing with all gears took place on the same day, at the same general area and at depths ranging from 4 to 90 m. Wholesale values are from reference 2, whereas market values were collected locally. Data were grouped in classes with different step per parameter (total length, TL : 0.5, 1, 2 and 3 cm; weight : 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25 g; wholesale and market value : 2, 5, 10 and 15 drh). The (number per class) $\frac{1}{2}$ (fishing gears) matrices were transformed to triangular matrices using the Bray-Curtis similarity index (3) and subjected to both clustering (group-average linking) and non-metric multi-dimensional scal-ing (MDS) techniques, using PRIMER (4).

Results and Discussion

Overall, 284 individuals of *D. annularis* and 466 individuals of *S. cabrilla* were caught. Both cluster (Fig. 1) and MDS (figures not shown) indicated the same groups per species for all parameters examined (weight and values : figures not shown), irrespectively of class step. Three groups $(G_{22}+G_{24}; G_{26}+G_{28}+H_{15}; and H_{11}+H_{12}+H_{13})$ were identified for *D. annularis* (Fig. 1a) and two $(G_{24}+G_{26}+G_{28}; and G_{22}+H_{11}+H_{12}+H_{13}+H_{15})$ for *S*. cabrilla (Fig. 1b).



Figure 1. Dendrograms of group-average clustering for (a) *Diplodus annularis* and (b) *Serranus cabrilla*, using Bray-Curtis similarity matrices (based on length, step=1 cm), Cyclades 1997-1998. G₂₂, G₂₄, G₂₆, G₂₈ : gillnets with mesh sizes 22, 24, 26 and 28 mm, respectively; H₁₁, H₁₂, H₁₃, H₁₅ : longlines with hook sizes 11, 12, 13 and 15, respectively.

Rapp. Comm. int. Mer Médit., 36, 2001

For *D. annularis*, group $G_{26}+G_{28}+H_{15}$ generally caught larger individuals of higher value when compared to those of the remaining ones (Fig. 2). The greatest fishing pressure was expended on small sized and valued classes (Fig. 2). The percentages of individuals with TL<TL_{m50} (i.e., length at 50% maturity) caught in groups $G_{22}+G_{24}$ and $H_{11}+H_{12}+H_{13}$ were 35.3 and 15.0%, respectively, whereas that of group $G_{26}+G_{28}+H_{15}$ was the lowest, 1.9% (Fig. 2).





For S. cabrilla, group $G_{24}+G_{26}+G_{28}$ caught larger individuals and of higher value when compared to group $G_{22}+H_{11}+H_{12}+H_{13}+H_{15}$ (Fig. 3). Although both groups competed for some size classes (Fig. 3), group $G_{24}+G_{26}+G_{28}$ caught considerably fewer individuals with TL<TL_{m50} than group $G_{22}+H_{11}+H_{12}+H_{13}+H_{15}$ (21.6 and 58.0%, respectively).





The results clearly indicated the strong multi-gear nature of the Greek small-scale fisheries, one of the main factors responsible for the failure of the technical regulations currently in force, and thus the importance of Marine Protected Areas as one of the most promising management tool (for an extended discussion see : 1, 6).

References

1. Stergiou K.I., Christou E.D., Georgopoulos D., Zenetos A. and Souvermezoglou C., 1997. The Hellenic Seas : Physics, Chemistry, Biology

and Fisheries. Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. Ann. Rev., 35: 415-538.

2. Smirnioti E., 1998. HAFD A.E. Statistical data of fish catch distribution. Total for wholesale markets 1997. Greek Fish. News, 208: 85-88 (in Greek).

3. Bray J.R. and Curtis J.T., 1957. An ordination of the upland forest

communities of southern Wisconsin. *Ecol. Monogr.*, 27: 325-349.
4. Clarke K.R. and Warwick R.M., 1994. Change in marine communities : an approach to statistical analysis and interpretation. Natural Environment

Research Council, UK. 5. Froese R. and Pauly D., 2000. FishBase 2000 (online).

http://:www.fishbase.org 6. Stergiou K.I. and Pollard D.A., 1994. A spatial analysis of the commercial fisheries catches from the Greek Aegean Sea. Fish. Res., 20: 109-135.