MORPHOMETRIC VARIABILITY BETWEEN NORTH AND SOUTH ADRIATIC POPULATIONS OF SAGITTA ENFLATA

Mirna Batistic

Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries, Laboratory of Plankton Ecology, Dubrovnik, Croatia - mirna.batistic@labdu.izor.hr

Abstract

Results of a biometric study of Sagitta en?atafrom two different ecological areas—one in the North and the other in the South Adriatic—demonstrate differences in body dimensions. This may represent adaptations to different environmental variables experienced by the two populations, especially as regards seasonal changes in water temperature and food availability.

Keywords: Chaetognatha, Sagitta en?ata, morphometric variability, Adriatic Sea

Introduction

Sagitta en?atais an oceanic or semi-neritic chaetognath typical of surface waters and especially abundant over continental shelves. It is eurythermic and euryhaline, but it prefers temperature ranges between 18 and 21 °C and salinity above 35 ppt (1).

This study investigated morphological differences in populations of Sagitta en?atain two different ecological areas. The North Adriatic is shallow (average depth ~ 50 m) and in?uenced by river discharge, especially that of the Po. The South Adriatic, on the other hand, is the deepest (max. 1242 m) and most oligotrophic part of the Adriatic (2). It is in?uenced mainly by warm Mediterranean waters in the central and eastern parts of its basin (3).

Material and methods

Plankton was collected on four cruises of R/VAndrijaMohorovicic from 1974 to 1976 (Table 1). Morphometric measurements were made on adult specimens isolated from five stations in the North Adriatic (above the Ancona -Lošinj transect), and three stations in the eastern South Adriatic (Dubrovnik - Bari transect).

Table 1. Temperature and salinity ranges in the North (from surface to bottom) and South Adriatic (0-50 m).

	North Adriatic		South Adriatic	
	T (°C) min-max	Salinity min-max	T (°C) min-max	Salinity min-max
SepOct. 1974 February	15.5-22.2	36.3-38.9	14.9-21.5	38.3-38.6
1976 Apr. May	8.9-10.0	37.7-38.3	13.6-14.2	38.6-38.7
1975 July	10.0-17.2	23.6-38.4	13.7-16.2	38.5-38.7
1976	10.5-24.8	36.8-38.4	14.8-25.8	38.1-38.8

Body length (L)— the top of the head to the tip of the tail fin— was measured with a stereomicroscope at 25x. Maximum width (W), length of head (Lh), width of head (Wh), length of ovaries (Lov), tail length (Lt), and tail width (Wt) were measured with a Wild microscope at 100x.

Student's t-test was used to test differences between populations. Temperature and salinity data may be found in "Reports and Results of Oceanographic Research in the Adriatic Sea (1974 - 1976)", published by the Hydrographic Institute, Split (Croatia).

Results and discussion

Morphometric characteristics of Sagitta en?ata
are presented in Table 2. $\,$

In both the North and South Adriatic in February and April-May, periods in which temperature was low (Table 2), individuals were longer than in July and September-October. This agrees with findings for other chaetognaths (c.f 4). Further, the longest individuals were found when copepod—the main food of chaetognaths—was greatest (5). Rao and Kelly (6) found a similar situation for S. en?atain the Indian Ocean.

Regardless of the temperature and food conditions, there was a significant difference (p<0.05) in body dimensions between individuals from the North and South Adriatic for all cruises: Chaetognaths from the south were always larger in all body dimensions than those from the north.

These morphological differences between North and South Adriatic populations may re?ect an adaptation to the significantly different

environmental conditions to which each of these populations is exposed.

Table 2. Morphometric characteristics of Sagitta en?ata in the North and South Adriatic.

		North Adriatic		South Adriatic	
		min-max	avg±std	min-max	avg±std
	L	9.0 13.0	11.7±1.2	12.0 16.5	14.5 ± 1.3
SeptemOctob. 1974, n=50	W	0.87-1.35	1.11+0.12	1.06-1.82	1.40 ± 0.17
	Lh	0.46 - 0.86	0.6310.08	0.73-0.96	0.8210.05
	Wh	0.43 0.89	0.66 ± 0.10	$0.73\ 1.22$	0.94 ± 0.10
	Lo	0.20-0.89	0.46 ± 0.19	0.33 - 1.72	0.99 ± 0.39
	Lt	1.45-1.98	1.77 ± 0.14	1.72-2.48	2.13+0.20
	$\mathbf{W}\iota$	0.36 0.56	0.46 ± 0.05	0.46 0.63	0.55 ± 0.05
February, 1976 n=44	L	8.5-16.0	12.6+2.1	13:0-19.0	15.9+1.7
	W	0.67-1.33	0.9610.20	1.00-1.82	1.5110.20
	Lh	0.40 0.90	0.61 ± 0.14	0.53 0.93	0.78 ± 0.08
	Wh	0.47 - 0.90	0.69 ± 0.12	0.73-1.13	0.93 ± 0.12
	Lo	0.17-1.10	0.5410.27	0.43-1.33	0.8510.28
	Lt	1.40 2.46	1.92 ± 0.29	2.00 2.90	2.50 ± 0.22
	Wt	0.30-0.53	0.41 ± 0.08	0.37-0.73	0.60 ± 0.08
April-May, 1975 n=45	L.	11.0-15.0	12.411.3	12.0-19.0	15.711.5
	W	$0.82\ 1.85$	1.05 ± 0.26	0.93 2.00	1.51 ± 0.28
	Lh	0.49 - 0.91	0.66 ± 0.11	0.53-1.00	0.78 ± 0.12
	Wh.	0.53 - 1.35	0.6810.26	0.60-1.76	0.9710.28
	Lo	$0.23 \ 0.67$	0.40 ± 0.13	0.27 2.06	0.96 ± 0.42
	Lt	1.73-2.30	1.99 ± 0.12	1.15-3.33	2.47±0.42
	Wt	0.34-0.63	0.48 ± 0.11	0.40-0.80	0.60 ± 0.10
July 1976 n=43	L	8.5 12.5	10.0 ± 1.1	10.0 14.0	11.8 ± 1.2
	W	0.67-1.13	0.90 ± 0.12	0.90-1.60	1.18 ± 0.15
	Lh	0.50 - 0.67	0.56 ± 0.05	0.53-0.77	0.6710.06
	Wh	0.53 - 0.83	0.66 ± 0.07	0.63-1.03	0.8210.09
	Lo	0.23-0.67	0.37 ± 0.13	0.23-0.87	0.44 ± 0.14
	Lt	1.20-2.00	1.55 ± 0.22	1.43-2.20	1.87±0.18
	Wi	0.33-0.50	0.39 ± 0.05	0.23-0.57	-0.47 ± 0.07

References

1-Marazzo A., Nogueira C.S.R., 1996. Composition, spatial and temporal variations of Chaetognatha in Guanabara Bay, Brazil. J. Plankton Res., 18:2367-2376.

2367-2376.
2-Faganeli J., Gacic M., Malej A., Smodlaka N., 1989. Pelagic organic matter in the Adriatic Sea in relation to winter hydrographic conditions. J. *Plankton Res.*, 11: 1129-1141.

3-Zore-Armanda M., 1968. The system currents in the Adriatic Sea. Stud. Rev. Gen. Fish. Counc. Medit., 34: 1-48.

4-Andreu P., Riera T., 1990. Morphometric relationships in the Chaetognath Sagitta setosaMüller 1847: within population versus between population variability. Scient. Mar., 54: 101-105.

5-Hure J., Ianora A., Scotto di Carlo B., 1980. Spatial and temporal distribution of copepod communities in the Adriatic Sea. J. Plankton Res., 2: 295-316.

6-Rao T. S. S., Kelly S., 1962. Studies on the Chaetognatha of the Indian Sea. VI. On the biology of Sagitta en?ataGrassi in the waters of Lawson's Bay, Waltair. J. zool. Soc. India. 14: 219-225.