

ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE OF ENTERIC BACTERIA ISOLATED FROM SOUTH-WESTERN ISTANBUL COAST (TURKEY)

Nüket Sivri ^{1*}, Gozde Ozbayram ¹ and Zeynep Karatut ¹

¹ Istanbul University, Engineering Faculty, Environmental Engineering Dept. - sivrin@gmail.com

Abstract

The aim of this study was to evaluate the impact of an urban effluent on the antibiotic resistance of enteric bacteria. A total of 72 strains were isolated from five different sampling stations of south-western Istanbul coast during the year of 2008. Among the isolates, 55,6% were *Escherichia coli*, 29,2% *Enterococcus faecalis*, 6,9 % *Proteus* spp., 5,6% *Klebsiella* spp., 2,7 % *Pseudomonas* spp. Strains of enteric bacteria were selected for antibiotic susceptibility testing. The antibiotic resistance tests resulted in bacteria being the most resistant against Ampicillin (100%) and the most sensitive against Imipenem (0%) and Amikacine (7,6%). The results reveal that study area faces bacteriological pollution and existing pollution level in this area is above the criterion specified for aquaculture, fishery and recreational activity.

Keywords: Antibiotics, Bacteria, Sewage Pollution

Introduction

The quality of water is of vital importance to the society. If a bacterial pathogen is able to develop or acquire resistance to an antibiotic, then this substance becomes useless in the treatment of infectious disease caused by this pathogen [1,2]. The aim of this study was to evaluate the impact of an urban effluent on the antibiotic resistance of enteric bacteria isolated from surface water of south-western Istanbul coast, Turkey.

Materials and Methods

The enteric bacteria were isolated using the MPN Method from five different sampling stations of south-western Istanbul coast on a monthly basis during the year of 2008 (Figure 1). A total of 72 strains were isolated and strains of enteric bacteria were selected for antibiotic susceptibility testing. The minimum inhibition concentration was determined by the disk diffusion method in Mueller-Hinton medium in accordance with the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines. Ten antimicrobial agents were selected as representatives of important classes of antimicrobials: ampicillin (AM), 10 µg; amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (AMC), 10µg; tetracycline (TE), 30 µg; chloramphenicol (CM), 30 µg; nalidixic acid (NA), 30 µg; amikacin (AC), 30 µg; streptomycin (SM), 10 µg; imipenem (I), 10 µg; ceftazidime (CAZ), 10 µg; trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (CO²⁵), 25 µg. The results were separately interpreted, using the breakpoints from the CLSI guidelines for the family *Enterobacteriaceae* and non-fermenters [3,4,5,6].



Fig. 1. Sampling stations of south-western Istanbul coast [7]

Results and Discussion

In this study, 72 isolated strains were 55,6% *Escherichia coli*, 29,2% *Enterococcus faecalis*, 6,9 % *Proteus* spp., 5,6% *Klebsiella* spp., 2,7 % *Pseudomonas* spp. The results of the antibiotic sensitivity test were interpreted and are presented as the antibiotic resistance pattern of the bacterial isolates among sampling stations. It can be seen in Table 1. When comparing the antibiotic resistance level between sampling stations, highest resistance to antibiotics was determined in the first and third stations because those stations have drainage water that contains high concentration of fecal coliforms. Also indirect influences of bacterial pollution and negative environmental conditions may be assumed to be related to antibiotic-resistant strains. The antibiotic resistance tests show bacteria being the most resistant against Ampicillin (100%) and the most sensitive to Imipenem (0%) and Amikacine (7,6%). As detecting the antibiotic susceptibility profiles of the isolates, it would be possible to design a general classification of the isolates and beside this, the risk factors of the resistant strains in stations were determined. The results show that existing pollution in this area is above the given criteria's for aquaculture, fisheries and

recreational activity.

Bacterial groups	RESISTANCE TO ANTIBIOTICS (%)											
	Station	Isolates	CM ²⁰	AC ³⁰	SM ¹⁰	CO ²⁵	NA ³⁰	AMC ³⁰	TE ³⁰	AM ¹⁰	CAZ ³⁰	I ¹⁰
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	1	9	0	0	11	22,2	36,3	67	44,4	100	22,2	0
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	1	5	20	0	40	20	80	80	20	100	40	0
<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	1	1	0	0	100	0	0	100	0	100	0	0
<i>Proteus</i> spp.	1	1	0	0	100	100	0	100	0	100	100	0
TOTAL	1	16	6,25	0	31,25	25	50	75	31,25	100	31,25	0
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	2	8	25	12,5	25	25	37,5	62,5	37,5	100	12,5	0
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	2	4	25	0	25	25	50	75	50	100	25	0
<i>Proteus</i> spp.	2	1	0	0	100	100	100	100	0	100	100	0
TOTAL	2	13	23	7,6	30	30	46,1	69,2	30,7	100	23	0
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	3	8	0	0	12,5	50	25	62,5	62,5	100	12,5	0
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	3	4	25	0	25	25	50	75	100	100	25	0
<i>Proteus</i> spp.	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	100	100	0
<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	3	1	100	0	100	0	0	100	100	100	0	0
<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0
TOTAL	3	15	13	0	20	33	26	60	73	100	20	0
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	4	7	0	0	28,5	28,5	71,4	28,5	14,2	100	14,2	0
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	4	3	0	0	33	33	100	67	33	100	0	0
<i>Proteus</i> spp.	4	1	0	0	100	0	100	100	0	100	0	0
<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0
<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	4	1	100	0	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	0
TOTAL	4	13	7	0	38,4	30,7	77	46,1	23	100	15,3	0
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	5	8	25	0	37,5	37,5	37,5	75	100	100	25	0
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	5	5	40	0	60	20	60	80	60	100	0	0
<i>Proteus</i> spp.	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	100	0
<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0
TOTAL	5	15	26,6	0	46,6	26,6	40	46,6	60	100	20	0

Fig. 2. Antibiotic resistances levels of isolated bacteria

References

- 1 - Furushita, M., T. Shiba, T. Maeda, M. Yahata, A. Kaneoka, Y. Takahashi, K. Torii, T. Hasegawa, and M. Ohtal., 2003. Similarity of tetracycline resistance genes isolated from fish farm bacteria to those from clinical isolates. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 69:5336-5342.
- 2 - Toroglu, S., Dincer, S., Korkmaz, H., 2005. Antibiotic resistance in Gram negative bacteria isolated from Aksu River in (Kahramanmaraş) Turkey. *Annals of Microbiology* 55(3) 229-233.
- 3 - Kelsey R., Scott H.G.I., Porter D.E., Thompson B., and Webster L., 2003., Using multiple antibiotic resistance and land use characteristics to determine sources of fecal coliform bacterial pollution. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 81:337-348.
- 4 - CLSI - Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), 2003. Performance standards for antimicrobial disk susceptibility tests; Eighth ed., Approved standard M2-A8., CLSI, Wayne, PA.
- 5 - Col, N. F. and R. W. O'Connor., 1987. Estimating worldwide current antibiotic usage: Report of task force I. *Rev. Infect. Dis.* 9, S232-243.
- 6 - Ash, R. J., B. Mauck, and M. Morgan., 2002. Antibiotic resistance of gram-negative bacteria in rivers, United States. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 8, 713-716.
- 7 - URL: http://www.nationsonline.org/oneworld/map/google_map_Istanbul.htm