HEAVY METAL CONCENTRATIONS IN DIFFERENT TISSUES OF MULLUS BARBATUS AND MULLUS SURMULETUS FROM ISKENDERUN BAY, EASTERN COST OF MEDITERRANEAN, TURKEY

Meltem Dural ¹*, Esra Bickici ¹ and Meltem Manasirli ²

¹ Faculty of Fisheries Mustafa Kemal University 31200 Iskenderun, Hatay, Turkey - duralmeltem@yahoo.com ² Faculty of Fisheries University of Cukurova 01330 Balcali, Adana, Turkey

Abstract

Along the cost of Iskenderun Bay, there are agricultural lands and industrial plants (iron-steel plants, LPG, plants, oil transfer docks and cargo ship's ballasts water). Due to heavy industrial and agricultural activities in the region, the Bay is under stress of the many polutants. In this investigation, muscle was generally, accumulated the lowest levels of metals for two species. The results showed that metal accumulation in *Mullus surmuletus* was higher than *Mullus barbatus* tissues. *Keywords: Metals, Fishes, Monitoring*

Introduction

The pollution of aquatic ecosystems by heavy metals is an important environmental problem as heavy metals constitute some of the most hazardous substances that can bioaccumulate [1]. Fish species are often the top consumers in aquatic ecosystems and thus metal concentrations in fish can act as an environmental indicator of the state of the environment [2]. Iskenderun Bay, in which there are large quantities of untreated industrial and domestic sewage, has one of the polluted coastal waters of Turkey and also has high economic importance for fishery. The aim of this work was to evaluate selected metal (Fe, Zn, Al, Cu, Pb, Mn, Ni, Cr, Cd) concentrations in different tissues of fish from two demersal species collected from Iskenderun Bay, which is situated on the eastern Mediterranean coast of Turkey.

Material and Methods

Red mullet (*Mullus barbatus*) and striped red mullet (*Mullus surmuletus*) samples were caught by fishermen's nets in 2009 from Iskenderun Bay. Total size and weight of fishes were for *M.barbatus* 36.05 ± 2.45 g, 14.08 ± 0.27 cm; for *M. surmuletus* 60.76 ± 2.30 g, 16.32 ± 0.23 cm, respectively. The sample preparation and analysis were carried out according to the procedure described by UNEP reference methods [3]. The tissues digested with concentrated nitric acid and percholoric acid (2:1, v/v) at 60° C for 3 days. All samples assayed using ICP-AES. The accuracy of analytical procedure was checked by analyzing the Standard reference materials (National Research Council of fish samples digested. Recovery rates ranged from 93% to 100% for all investigated elements.

Result and Discussion

For skin; the highest Al (17.21 µg/g dw), Fe (20.28 µg/g dw), Cr (10.47 µg/g dw) concentration were detected in *M. barbatus*; the highest Pb (11.87 µg/g dw), Zn (5.58 µg/g dw), Ni (1.99 µg/g dw) concentration was detected in *M.surmuletus* from Iskenderun Bay . For muscle tissue; the highest Al (7.52 µg/g dw), Pb (8.10 µg/g dw), Fe (4.51 µg/g dw), Zn (0.77 µg/g dw), Ni (1.51 µg/g dw) concentration were detected in *M. surmuletus*; the highest Cr (6.56 µg/g dw), concentration was detected in *M. barbatus* from Iskenderun Bay. For liver tissue the highest Al (13.94 µg/g dw), Pb (15.18 µg/g dw), Fe (161.41 µg/g dw) was detected in *M. surmuletus* from Iskenderun Bay. To result the highest Al (13.94 µg/g dw), Pb (15.18 µg/g dw), Fe (161.41 µg/g dw) was detected in *M. surmuletus* from Iskenderun Bay. To result the highest Al (13.94 µg/g dw), Pb (15.18 µg/g dw), Fe (161.41 µg/g dw) was detected in *M. surmuletus* from Iskenderun Bay. To result the highest Al (13.94 µg/g dw), Pb (15.18 µg/g dw), Fe (161.41 µg/g dw) was detected in *M. surmuletus* from Iskenderun Bay. To result the highest Al (13.94 µg/g dw), Pb (15.18 µg/g dw), Fe (161.41 µg/g dw) was detected in *M. surmuletus* from Iskenderun Bay. To result the highest Al (13.94 µg/g dw), Pb (15.18 µg/g dw), Fe (161.41 µg/g dw) was detected in *M. surmuletus* from Iskenderun Bay. To result the highest Al (13.94 µg/g dw), Pb (15.18 µg/g dw), Fe (161.41 µg/g dw) was detected in *M. surmuletus* from Iskenderun Bay.

Tab. 1. The mean concentrations and Standard deviation of metals in the different tissues of *M.barbatus*

Metals	Heavy Metal Concentrations				
	Skin	Muscle	Liver		
AI	17.21± 1.09 ^b	6.67±0.17 ª	13.35±0.33 b		
Pb	5.85±0.64 b	2.26±0.26ª	4.99±1.07 ab		
Fe	20.28±1,56 b	3.31 ± 0.28 ª	102.8 ± 4.01℃		
Zn	1.04± 0.71	0.11±5.93	nd		
Ni	0.12±0.27	nd	nd		
Cd	nd	nd	nd		
Cu	nd	nd	nd		
Cr	10.47ª±2.01	6.56°±1.03	6.76ª±3.90		
Mn	nd	nd	nd		

Tab.	2.	The	mean	concentrations	and	Standard	deviation	of	metals	in	the
different tissues of M.surmuletus											

Metals	Heavy Metal Concentrations				
	Skin	Muscle	Liver		
AI	9.39±0.39 ^b	7.52± 0.15 ª	13.94±1.56⁰		
Pb	11.87±0.84 ^{ab}	8.10± 0.87 ª	15.18±1.71 ^b		
Fe	12.67±0.66 b	4.51±0.33 ª	161.4±11.37⁰		
Zn	5.58±0.75 ^b	0.77±0.17 ª	5.22±0.94 ^b		
Ni	1.99 ± 0.55 ª	1.51±0.18 ª	2.55±0.73ª		
Cd	0.03± 0.01 ª	0.14± 0.04 [◎]	0.02± 0.01ª		
Cu	0.53±0.07 ª	1.21±0.15 ^b	0.70±0.32 ^{ab}		
Cr	0.22±0.15	0.48±0.17	nd		
Mn	0.01±0.01	0.01±0.00	nd		

Generally the levels of metals found in tissues of the *M. surmuletus* were generally higher than those found in *M. barbatus*. Our results show that generally metal accumulation is highest in liver and skin, while it is low in muscle in two species. This is probably due to their physiological roles in fish metobolism. It is well known that large amount of metallothionein induction occurs in the liver tissue of fishes, therefore the liver tissue is highly active in the uptake and storage of heavy metals [4, 5, 6, 7]. Turkish legislation establishes maximum levels for four of the metals studied, above which human consumption is not permitted as; 0.1 mg/kg for Cd, 1.0 mg/kg for Pb, 20.0 mg/kg for Cu, 50 mg/kg for Zn [19]. Food and Agricultural Organization limits for Cd and Pb 0.5 mg/kg, for Cu and Zn 30 mg/kg [20].

In conclution, the concentrations of these metals measured in the muscle of the three species studied were generally lower than the levels issued by FAO and Turkish legistation. Yet, Pb concentrations in the muscle tissues were higher than the maximum levels set by law.

References

1 - Tarifeno-Silva E., Kawasaki L., Yn D.P., Gorden M.S. and Chapman D.J., 1982. Aquacultural Approaches to Recycling Dissolved Nutrients in Secondarily Treated Domestic Waste Waters: Uptake of Dissolved Heavy Metals by Artificial Food Chains. *Water Research.*, 16:59-65.

2 - Widianarko B., Van Gestel C.A.M., Verweij R.A. and Van Straalen, N.M.,2000. Associations Between Trace Metals in Sediment, Water and Guppy, Poecilia reticulata (Peters), from Urban Streams of Semarang, Indonesia. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety.*, 46:101-107.

3 - UNEP/FAO/IOC/IAEA.,1984.Determination of total cadmium, zinc, lead and copper in selected marine organisms by Flameless Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry. Reference Methods for Marine Pollution Studies., No. 11,Rev 2.

4 - Heath A.G., 1987. Water Pollution and Fish Physiology. CRC press, Florida, 245pp.

5 - Langston W.J., 1990. Toxic Effects of Metals and Incidence of Marine Ecosystem. In:Furness RW, Rainbow PS, editors. Heavy Metals in the Marine Environment. CRC Press, New York 256pp.

6 - Marafante E., 1976. Binding of mercury and zinc to cadmium binding protein in liver and kidney of gold fish. *Experienta.*, 32: 149-150.

7 - Hodson P.V., 1988. The effect of metal metabolism on uptake disposition and toxicity in fish. *Aquat. Toxicol.*, 11:3-18.