ASSESSMENT OF THE ECOLOGICAL STATUS OF SLOVENIAN COASTAL WATERS WITH MACROBENTHIC BIOLOGICAL ELEMENTS

Martina Orlando-bonaca¹*, Borut Mavric¹ and Lovrenc Lipej¹ ¹ Marine Biology Station, National Institute of Biology - orlando@mbss.org

Abstract

Benthic macrophytes on hard bottom and soft-botom invertebrates are two important biological elements for the assessment of the ecological status (ES) in coastal waters, according to the European Water Framework Directive (WFD, 2000/60/EC). The aim of the study is to verify whether the monitoring results reconfirm the preliminary evaluation of the ES according to these biological elements. The ES was reconfirmed as *Good*.

Keywords: Monitoring, Coastal Waters, Biodiversity, Bio-Indicators, Adriatic Sea

Introduction

The results of a preliminary assessment of benthic macroalgae in Slovenian coastal waters [1], according to the WFD, led to a selection of 7 sampling sites on hard bottom for the surveillance monitoring programme. In the same way, a preliminary assessment of benthic invertebrates led to a selection of 6 sampling sites on soft bottom. The aim of the study was to verify whether the results of the first two years of monitoring programme confirm the preliminary assessment of benthic elements or indicate different conditions/situation of these organisms in Slovenian coastal waters.

Material and Methods

The Slovenian coastal sea covers the southern part of the Gulf of Trieste. Its coastline is approximately 46.7 km long. It is a shallow semi-enclosed gulf with a maximum depth of ca. 33 m in waters off Piran. During 2007 and 2008, benthic macroalgae were sampled in three water bodies (WB): SI5VT3, SI5VT4 and SI5VT5, while benthic invertebrates were sampled only in two WB: SI5VT3 and SI5VT5. SI5VT4 was characterised as "rocky shallow moderately exposed", while the other two (SI5VT3, SI5VT5) as "sedimentary shallow moderately exposed". All sites were sampled twice: in spring and in late summer. Macroalgae were sampled and their status assessed according to the national methodology (3] using *M-AMBI*(Multivariat Azti Marine Biotic Index) [4, 5].

Results and Discussion

During the 2007-2008 period, 5 sampling sites for macroalgae were evaluated as High, one as Good and one as Poor ES. After the application of the spatial scale weighted EEI, the WBs ES was evaluated as High in SI5VT4 and as Good in SI5VT5, which reconfirm those from the preliminary study [1]. The macroalgae monitoring revealed seasonal differences in species composition and coverage. At almost all sites, the ES was higher in summer then in spring. The ES evaluation of benthic invertebrates in 2007 and 2008 classified both SI5VT3 and SI5VT5 as Good ES, which is in accordance with the preliminary ES evaluation. In both years the situation was better in late summer. There are slight discrepancies in the Ecological Quality Ratios (EQRs) for SI5VT3. The overall EQR value for both monitoring years lies on the border between Good and Moderate class (0.62), while in the preliminary study, the EQR value lies in the middle of the EQR range for Good class (0.70). The results of the assessment from 2006 to 2008 show a Good ES of Slovenian coastal waters. Further samplings and studies need to be carried out in order to rise confidence of the obtained results and applied methods.

References

1 - Orlando-Bonaca M., Lipej L. and Orfanidis S., 2008. Benthic macrophytes as a tool for delineating, monitoring and assessing ecological status: the case of Slovenian coastal waters. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 56(4): 666-676.

2 - Orfanidis S., Panayotidis P. and Stamatis N., 2001. Ecological evaluation of transitional and coastal waters: A marine benthic macrophytes-based model. *Mediterranean Marine Science* 2(2): 45-65.

4 - Muxika I., Borja A. and Bald J., 2006. Using historical data, expert judgment and multivariate analysis in assessing reference conditions and benthic ecological status, according to the European Water Framework Directive. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 55: 16-29.

5 - http://www.azti.es v.4